

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION

YULE R. HOBSON,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 4:10CV2060 HEA
	)	
JAY NIXON, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court upon the application of Yule R. Hobson for leave to commence this action without payment of the required filing fee. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Upon consideration of the financial information provided with the application, the Court finds that plaintiff is financially unable to pay any portion of the filing fee. Therefore, plaintiff will be granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

**28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court may dismiss a complaint filed in forma pauperis at any time if the action is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. An action is frivolous if "it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). An action fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted if it does not plead "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007).

In reviewing a pro se complaint under § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court must give the complaint the benefit of a liberal construction. *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). The Court must also weigh all factual allegations in favor of the plaintiff, unless the facts alleged are clearly baseless. *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 32 (1992).

### **The Complaint**

Plaintiff, a resident at the Metropolitan St. Louis Psychiatric Center, brings this action for monetary and injunctive relief against Jay Nixon, Dr. Roy Wilson, Sylvia Padams (Nurse), Michael Armour ("Executive"), Irene Griggs (Nurse), Lisa Delgado (Nurse), Joan Moriarity, Laurent D. Javois (Regional Executive Officer), Keith Schafer, Richard Gowdy, Doug McCoy, Steven Kohmer, Monique Abby, Neil Miller, Unknown Boyer, and Jennifer Joyce. Plaintiff seems to be alleging that he was assaulted by a resident on two occasions. He was taken to Forest Park hospital, where he claims he was subjected to "cruel torture."

Liberally construing this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the complaint is legally frivolous, because plaintiff has failed to assert non-conclusory allegations against any of the named defendants. *See Martin v. Sargent*, 780 F.2d 1334, 1338 (8th Cir. 1985) (claim not cognizable under § 1983 where plaintiff fails to allege defendant was personally involved in or directly responsible for incidents that injured plaintiff); *Boyd v. Knox*, 47 F.3d 966, 968 (8th Cir. 1995) (respondeat superior theory inapplicable in § 1983 suits). Moreover, it is unclear whether many of the named defendants are state actors under § 1983.

To the extent that plaintiff is attempting to assert state-law claims for assault and battery, they will be dismissed, without prejudice, because when a plaintiff's federal claims are dismissed, all remaining pendent state claims should be dismissed, as well. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3); *United*

*Mine Workers v. Gibbs*, 383 U.S. 715, 726 (1966) (if federal claims are dismissed before trial, remaining state claims should also be dismissed); *Hassett v. Lemay Bank & Trust Co.*, 851 F.2d 1127, 1130 (8th Cir. 1988) (where federal claims have been dismissed, district courts may decline jurisdiction over pendent state claims as a "matter of discretion").

In accordance with the foregoing,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #2] is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall not issue process or cause process to issue upon the complaint, because the complaint is legally frivolous and fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel [Doc. #4] is **DENIED** as moot.

A separate Order of Dismissal shall accompany this Memorandum and Order.

Dated this 9th day of December, 2010.



---

HENRY EDWARD AUTREY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE